UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

		ONITED CTATES DISTRICT COOK! TOK THE	VEGILIAN BIOTHIOT OF IMMORIOAN
		United States of America	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
		V. Eddie Lavere Givhan Defendant	Case No. 1:09-mj-00674
that t		ofter conducting a detention hearing under the Bail Reform Act efendant be detained pending trial.	, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require
		Part I – Findings	of Fact
	(1)	The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S a federal offense a state or local offense that wou existed – that is	
		a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4 which the prison term is 10 years or more.), or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for
		an offense for which the maximum sentence is death o	r life imprisonment.
		an offense for which a maximum prison term of ten yea	rs or more is prescribed in:*
		a felony committed after the defendant had been convius. U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or loca	cted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 l offenses.
		any felony that is not a crime of violence but involves: a minor victim the possession or use of a firearm or destr	
	(0)	a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250	
	(2)	The offense described in finding (1) was committed while the or local offense.	, ,
	(3)	A period of less than 5 years has elapsed since the date offense described in finding (1).	e of conviction defendant's release from prison for the
	(4)	Findings (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption the person or the community. I further find that defendant has no	
		Alternative Finding	ngs (A)
	(1)	There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has co	mmitted an offense
		for which a maximum prison term of ten years or more Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 801 et seq.) under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	is prescribed in:*
	(2)	The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance and the s	
		Alternative Findi	
✓	(1)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear.	
	(2)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the sa	afety of another person or the community.

Part II – Statement of the Reasons for Detention

I find that the testimony and information submitted at the detention hearing establishes by

clear and convincing evidence a preponderance of the evidence that:

- 1. Defendant waived his detention hearing, electing not to contest detention at this time.
- 2. Defendant may bring the issue of his continuing detention to the court's attention should his circumstances change.

Part III - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.

Date:	February 23, 2010	Judge's Signature:	/s/ Ellen S. Carmody	
		Name and Title:	Ellen S. Carmody, U.S. Magistrate Judge	